

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
DANS LA COUR SUPREME DE LA COLUMBIE BRITANNIQUE**

**CANADA  
PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA/  
PROVINCE DE LA COLUMBIE BRITANNIQUE  
CITY OF VANCOUVER/VILLE DE VANCOUVER**

**HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN/SA MAJESTE' LA REINE**

**AGAINST/CONTRE**

**RIPUDAMAN SINGH MALIK and AJAIB SINGH BAGRI**

**ADMISSION OF FACT**

**Re: [REDACTED] June, July and August 2004 statements  
to Inspector Nash**

Pursuant to Section 655 of the *Criminal Code of Canada*, I, Robert H. Wright, Q.C., Counsel for the Crown, E. David Crossin, Q.C., Counsel for the accused, Ripudaman Singh Malik and Richard C.C. Peck, Q.C., Counsel for the accused, Ajaib Singh Bagri, hereby admit the following facts for the purpose of dispensing with proof thereof:

1. THAT the Crown witness, [REDACTED], spoke to Inspector Nash on seven occasions between June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2004 and August 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004.
2. THAT the attached notes of Inspector Nash, were made to the best of his ability and are entered to prove that [REDACTED] made the various statements attributed to him therein, but not for the truth of their contents.


3. THAT Inspector Nash made a typographical error in his notes of July 11, 2004 at 14:54 hours. The eighth line presently reads:


*Gurmit was probably at work and not there – Balbir moved out after the...*

It should read:

*Balbir was probably at work and not there – Balbir moved out after the...*

4. THAT Inspector Nash had a conversation with [REDACTED] at 1454 hours on July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004, and advised Crown Counsel of the substance of that conversation at 1502 hours on July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004.
5. THAT Inspector Nash had a conversation with [REDACTED] at 0759 hours on August 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004, and advised Crown Counsel of the substance of that conversation at 0906 hours on August 11<sup>th</sup>, 2004.
6. THAT Crown Counsel received Inspector Nash's notes on Wednesday, August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2004.
7. THAT Crown Counsel disclosed the attached notes of Inspector Nash to the defence by way of the attached letter dated August 30<sup>th</sup>, 2004, which sets out the one typographical error described in paragraph three, above.
8. THAT the attached notes show that some information has been redacted. These redactions were made by Crown Counsel as the redacted information is privileged as Crown work product.

*bt*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Crossin, Q.C.  
Counsel for Ripudaman Singh Malik  
Signed September 20, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert H. Wright, Q.C.  
Counsel for the Crown  
Signed September 20, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard C.C. Peck, Q.C.  
Counsel for Ajaib Singh Bagri  
Signed September 20, 2004.